

8/6/19

Partiality and Entirety in the Eligibility of Binary Distinctions

Before the fleeting pool evaporates, let us salvage the example:

Should a certain relation, X , be held as static or dynamic, upon the following axioms:

1 - that the relation cannot be both static and dynamic, nor can it be neither, thus rooting this soon-to-be structure in a semantic ground.

2 - that the "Truth" of a notion, - the capital "T" being that which distinguishes ultimate, "objective" (heavily speaking) truth from local, subjective, comparatively modest and discrete truth - is determined by the holistic aggregation of opinions that are held, a qualitative/quantitative measure that is asymptotically out of reach, seeing as the illumination of such would require a holistic illumination of every subjectivity.

for relation X , - the relation between A and B - to be Truly static, every subjectivity needs to hold it as such (*holding perhaps retaining a greater(?) integrity than positing), whereas, for relation X to be Truly dynamic, at least one subjectivity needs to regard it as such. ~~Thus, eligibility for~~ further elaboration/qualification

ITEM
12



question

eligibility-to-be-considered- Truly-static
 Why must ~~eligibility-to-be-considered-static~~
 inhabit the space/domain (as represented by the
 array of all ~~possible~~ possible variations of positions
 held by subjectivities, an array easily(?) regardable
 as infinite) of a point, while the eligibility-to-
~~be-considered- Truly-dynamic~~
 must inhabit the still-infinite negative space of
 the point? ("negative" only being valid as a descriptor
 from the perspective of the aforementioned point)

answer-

Because, of all the possible variations of the
 array of subjectivities, only one outcome consists
 of Entirety in favor of staticity, while virtually
 any other ~~can~~ variation consists of either partiality
 or Entirety in favor of dynamism.

question

Why can eligibility-to-be-considered-Truly-static
 not be qualified in a partial capacity, while
 eligibility-to-be-considered-Truly-dynamic can?

answer, (anecdote)

Because one lifeform on a planet qualifies it as
 a life-harboring planet

answer (analytic?)

2 more axioms (definitions)

- static: lacking movement

- ~~dynamic~~-dynamic: containing movement

- this semantic orientation corners the eligibility-as-static
 into the domain of a point of Entirety, while
 Eligibility-as-dynamic inhabits not only the polar opposite
 point, but all the space between